

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/926,466	QUENTIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Eric Hug	1731	

All Participants:

(1) Eric Hug, USPTO.

(2) Ed Tracy, Applicant's Representative.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 8 February 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

13-18, 24

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

ERIC HUG
PRIMARY EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

In response to the amendment filed on September 8, 2005, the examiner indicated to Applicant that claims 13-18 and 24, drawn to products by process, were not allowable over the prior art as set forth in the office action of June 8, 2005, and further indicated that cancellation of claims 13-18 and 24 would put the application in condition for allowance. The examiner proposed to cancel the claims by Examiner's Amendment should Applicant authorize such an amendment. .